

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,866	01/21/2004	Helmuth Gabl	ANDPAT/180/US	3146
2543	7590 11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP			LITHGOW, THOMAS M	
750 MAIN S SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORD), CT 06103		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,866	GABL, HELMUTH	\
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH . cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u>ugust 2006</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	· ·	s
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are:	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau		asived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	0	un ful	
•	THO	MAS M. LITHGOW	
Attachment(s)	PRI	WART CARRO	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I	finary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		mal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant has already elected the apparatus claims 19-29 (with traverse) in the letter dated 07 August 2006. The traversal will be addressed separately later. Upon further consideration, an additional species restriction will be imposed upon the applicant as follows:

a. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: A] washer step interposed on at least one flotation stage (fig. 2) B] a washer step between stages (fig. 3) and C] washer step subsequent to ash removal step (fig. 4). The species are independent or distinct because the disclosure of a single species in the prior art may not anticipate the other claimed species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 20-21 and 28-29 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/761,866

Art Unit: 1724

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,866

Art Unit: 1724

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the apparatus claims 19-29 in the reply filed on 07 August 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the process reads on purifying water and the preamble which recites, "feed suspension of recoverable solids" can be a wastewater. This is not found persuasive because the claim also recites that there is a "purified suspension" which flows through an "accept line"-term of art. Water which still contains solids is not considered to be purified water. To the contrary, typically wastewater which contains solids is the feed stream to a wastewater flotation process with the sole purpose of the wastewater flotation is to remove the suspended solids. Dorflinger (US 5358605) clearly illustrates the differences between such flotation steps as he discloses both deinking flotation at 32 (consistent with applicants

Application/Control Number: 10/761,866

Art Unit: 1724

apparatus and process) as well as "flash" flotation (dissolved air flotation) disclosed at 39 which functions to remove all of the suspended solids and form a recycle water stream for reuse of the system water.

This portion of the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow whose telephone number is 571-272-1162. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas M. Lithgow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

TML